Communitiy of Practice





An organizational structure *unique* in its ability to manage the knowledge system

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

1

Community of Practice (CoP): Literature



- E. Wenger, R. McDermott, W. Snyder, "Cultivating Communities of Practice: A Guide to Managing Knowledge", Harvard Business School Press, Boston, 2002
- Communications of the ACM, April 2002, Vol. 45, Number 4: Special Section "Supporting Community and Building Social Capital", pp. 36-73
- E. Wenger, "Supporting communities of practice: a survey of community-oriented technologies", Version 1.3, 2001, www.ewenger.com/tech

M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

2

CoP Examples (1)



- Helping communities
 - Focused on community relationships
 - Colleagues help each other solve everyday problems
 - Schlumberger's communities of scientists and engineers:
 - → Post requests for help on threaded discussion / several people respond
- Best-practice communities
 - Focused on practice
 - Develop, validate and disseminate specific practices
 - Ford Motor Company's community of operators and engineers:
 - ▶ Describe new practice and value, assess effectiveness and benefits, distribute and review worldwide

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Adapted from: Wenger et al. 2002

CoP Examples (2)



- Knowledge-stewarding communities
 - Focused on explicit knowledge
 - Organize, upgrade and distribute knowledge used every day
 - Cap Gemini Ernst & Young's 150 communities:
 - ➤ Focus on finding, organizing and distributing documents from unfiltered repositories, discussion databases and from comprehensive packs on specific topics
- Innovation communities
 - Focused on tacit knowledge
 - Foster unexpected ideas and innovations
 - Daimler Chrysler's "Austauschgruppe" community:
 - ▶ Encourages engineers to assess new directions in research and provides a channel for their innovations to be realized

M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Adapted from: Wenger et al. 2002

Community of Practice: What is it?



A Community of Practice (CoP) is a group of people

- bound to the organisation as an <u>informal</u> <u>structural unit</u>
- with voluntary & reporting-independent participation of members
- who share a concern and a passion about a knowledge <u>domain</u>
- care <u>collectively</u> about this domain (<u>stewarding</u>)
- and apply the shared <u>practice</u> in their business processes

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Adapted from: Wenger et al. 2002

Community of Practice: Where lies its USP?



- Knowledge Challenge
 - the CoP approach naturally implements a comprehensive understanding of what the Knowledge Challenge is
- Business Processes
 - the CoP approach naturally integrates Knowledge Management activities and Business Processes
- Corporate Strategy (business objectives)
 - the CoP approach aligns Knowledge Management and Business Objectives by promoting in a balanced way benefits for individuals and for the organisation

M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

What is the Knowledge Challenge? Understanding Knowledge ...



- ... Knowledge lives in the human act of knowing
- ... Knowledge is tacit as well as explicit
- ... Knowledge is social as well as individual
- ... Knowledge is dynamic
- ➤ Knowledge cannot be reduced to an "object" like other assets
- ➤ Knowledge must be treated as a "human factor"

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Adapted from: Wenger et al. 2002

How are Knowledge Management and Business Processes integrated?

Business Processes

FHBB

CIM-Zentrum Muttenz

Business Processes

Knowledge stewarded, solutions

Knowledge applied, experience

Community of Practice

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rutti, S.Schnelder 2002

Adapted from: E. Wenger et al., 2002 8

Interactions: What do CoP members?



- explain their work
- discuss their needs
- discuss their aspirations
- share information
- share hints & tips
- share advices
- share insights
- help each other
- consult each other
- discuss their approaches
- discuss their expectations

- solve problems
- explore common issues
- create tools
- create standards
- create generic designs
- create generic documents
- organize documents
- maintain a Web site
- → develop trust, understanding
- develop (negotiate) common perspectives, approaches, practices

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Adapted from: Wenger et al. 2002

Community of Practice: Transforming the Organisation



- Connect people in different units around knowledge topics and increase trust and motivation (enhanced networking)
- Solve cooperatively business problems whose root causes cross team boundaries (cooperation)
- Egalise up to the highest standard performances in similar tasks that are uneven across units
- Link, coordinate, organise, develop activities and initiatives by different units in similar knowledge domains
- Implement the cycle of global stewarding and local use of knowledge (needs feedback, integration of KM & BP)
- Connect personal and professional development of practicioners to the strategy of the organization

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Source: Wenger et al. 2002 10

Benefits to Organization (short-term)



CoP improve business outcomes:

- Arena for problem solving
- Quick answers to questions
- Reduced time and costs of information search
- Improved quality of decisions
- More perspectives on problems
- Coordinate and find synergies across OEs
- More daring in trying new things (risks)
- . . .

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Source: Wenger et al. 2002 11

Benefits to Organization (long-term)



CoP develop organizational capabilities:

- Execute a strategic plan
- Authority with clients
- Increased retention of talent
- Capacity for KM projects
- Ability to innovate
- Ability to foresee technological developments
- Ability to take advantage of emerging markets
- . . .

M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Source: Wenger et al. 2002 12

Benefits to Members (short-term)



CoP improve experience of work:

- Help with challenges
- Access to expertise
- Better able to contribute to team tasks
- Confidence in one's approach to problem
- Fun of beeing with colleagues
- More meaningful participation
- Sense of belonging
- ...

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Source: Wenger et al. 2002 13

Benefits to Members (long-term)



CoP foster professional development:

- Forum for expanding skills and expertise
- Network for keeping abreast of a field
- Enhanced professional reputation
- Increased marketability and employability
- Strong sense of professional identity
- ...

M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Source: Wenger et al. 2002 14

Community of Practice: How to do it? (1)



Develop and balance three elements:

- Knowledge domain
 - What topics and issues do we really care about? How is this domain connected to the organization's strategy? What are its open questions and the leading edge? What is in it for us?
- Community
 - What roles are people going to play? How often will the community meet? How will members connect on an ongoing basis? What will generate energy and develop trust?
- Practice
 - What knowledge to share, develop, document? Which models, instruments, *Lessons Learned*, *best practices*, etc. ?

© M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Source: Wenger et al. 2002 15

Community of Practice: How to do it? (2)



Recognise and support 3 life phases (5 stages):

- Formation (potential and coalescing)
 - discover exisiting networks and common ground & invent new opportunities; build relationships & generate value
- Integration (*maturing*, *stewardship*)
 - Focus on own topics & admit new members; develop own tools, methods, approaches (instruments) & welcome new ideas
- Transformation (*transformation*)
 - Ending (fading away, officially closing) and/or shifting to new community (new topic, merging with other communities, become institutionalized as formal unit, etc.)

M. Bettoni, G. A. Endress, M. Rütti, S.Schneider 2002

Adapted from: Wenger et al. 2002 1